IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

WILLIAM THEODORE JOY,)	
Plaintiff,)))	NO. 3:11-0863 JUDGE HAYNES
v.)	
STATE OF TENNESSEE)))	
Defendants.)	
	ORDER	

Upon review of the file, the Clerk of Court mailed a copy of Order in this action to the Plaintiff on October 28, 2011. (Docket Entry No. 14). The Order was returned to the Court with the notation "Return to Sender - Unable to Forward." (Docket Entry No. 15).

Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), service is effective upon mailing to the party's last known address. It is a party's responsibility to inform the Court of his address so as to enable the Court to inform all parties of matters arising in the litigation. <u>Downs v. Pyburn</u>, No. 3:87-0471 (M.D. Tenn. Order filed September 4, 1987). The Plaintiff has failed to inform the Court of his new address, and the Court is unable to conduct the necessary proceedings in this action.

Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED without prejudice** under Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute.

It is so **ORDERED**.

ENTERED this the <u>May of November</u>, 2011.

United States District Judge